# MAYO Outcomes of Surveillance following Cytoreductive Nephrectomy in Metastatic Renal Cell CLINIC Carcinoma Jack R. Andrews<sup>1</sup>, Christine Lohse<sup>1</sup>, Stephen A Boorjian<sup>1</sup>, Bradley C. Leibovich<sup>1</sup>, R. Houston Thompson<sup>1</sup>, Brian A. Costello<sup>2\*</sup>, Bimal Bhindi<sup>3\*</sup> \*Co-Principle Investigator <sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, <sup>3</sup> Department of Urology, Southern Alberta Institute of Urology, Alberta, CA.

## Introduction and Objectives

•The CARMENA trial demonstrated that sunitinib alone was non-inferior to cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN) followed by sunitinib with regard to overall survival (OS) for patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC).

•However, as CARMENA focused on patients requiring systemic therapy; the role of CN for patients not treated with upfront systemic therapy remain unknown.

•We sought to describe the oncologic outcomes of patients with de-novo synchronous mRCC who underwent CN and initial surveillance, with or without metastasis-directed therapy (MDT), and without planned immediate postoperative systemic therapy.

Table 1: Multivariate Model for Systemic Therapy		
Free Survival		

Feature	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Post-cytokine era (2006-2016)	0.53 (0.36-0.77)	0.001
Multiple metastatic sites at nephrectomy	1.85 (1.25-2.73)	0.002
Grade*		
1, 2	1.0 (reference)	
3	1.59 (1.01-2.50)	0.04
4	3.29 (1.87-5.77)	$<\!\!0.001$

\*Grade not assigned to chromophobe RCC.

# Tak

Matorial	e and	Methods
materia	15 and	Methous

<ul> <li>We identified 156 adults in Nephrectomy Registry who unilateral, sporadic, mRCC without postoperative syste months of CN.</li> <li>Metastases documented a managed with initial survei metastasectomy, radiation, or cryoablation within 3 mc</li> <li>The co-primary outcomes y free survival (STFS) and (if months post-CN. Features OS were assessed using n regression models with best</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>115 patients (74 patients were may (76%) underwend patients initiated</li> <li>The median foll</li> <li>STFS rates at 1, 3</li> <li>On multivariable associated with a p=0.002), while a improved OS (Here)</li> </ul>		
Table 2: Multivariate Mo	del for Overall	Survival	•Among appropri
Feature Post-cytokine era (2006-2016) Low hemoglobin ECOG performance status* Grade <sup>†</sup> 1, 2 3 4 Upfront complete metastasectomy *HR and CI represent a 1-unit increase in 1	HR (95% CI) 0.42 (0.28-0.64) 1.92 (1.31-2.81) 1.61 (1.22-2.14) 1.0 (reference) 0.85 (0.53-1.34) 2.19 (1.25-3.85) 0.59 (0.40-0.87) ECOG performance status	P-value <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.5 0.006 0.008	or MDT after CN not requiring sys long term STFS •Having a single complete metas STFS and OS a •These data ma with upfront CN.

<sup>†</sup>Grade not assigned to chromophobe RCC.

## Results

nts (74%) had a single metastatic site. 37 (24%) ere managed after CN with surveillance alone and 119 erwent MDT, of whom was complete in 77 (49%) 72 tiated systemic therapy at a median of 0.7 years an follow-up among survivors was 6.2 years es at 1, 3, and 5 years were 47%, 21% and 14% at 1, 3, and 5 years were 69%, 37%, and 28% ariable analysis, having multiple metastatic sites was with worse STFS (HR 1.85; 95%CI 1.25-2.73; while complete metastasectomy was associated with DS (HR 0.59; 95%CI 0.40-0.87; p=0.008)

#### Conclusion

propriately selected patients managed with surveillance er CN, approximately half are estimated to be alive and g systemic therapy at one year, with a subset achieving STFS.

single metastatic site and disease amenable to netastasectomy are features associated with improved OS after upfront CN.

a may help select which patients may be well served